

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/738,393	12/16/2003	Gunther Schultz	104035.272666	7996
826	6 7590 12/19/2005		EXAMINER	
ALSTON &		MOHANDESI, JILA M		
BANK OF AN	MERICA PLAZA			
101 SOUTH TRYON STREET, SUITE 4000			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHARLOTTE	, NC 28280-4000		3728	

DATE MAILED: 12/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			T.Vn			
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/738,393	SCHULTZ ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jila M. Mohandesi	3728			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISSING OF THE MAILING THE MAIL	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 De	ecember 2003.				
2a)□	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3))☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4-17</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
-	i)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.					
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement				
ا_اره	claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 10-05-04	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/738,393 Page 2

Art Unit: 3728

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means", "comprises" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 4-17 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim should refer to other claims in the alternative only and cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 4-17 have not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 1, the limitation "in each case one side wall is articulated laterally" is vague and indefinite. It is not clear what structure such

language encompasses. In what each ease and where are the sidewalls located? The phrase "it being the case" in line 5 is also vague and indefinite.

Claim 1 recites the limitation ""at the bottom" and "at the top" in lines 4 and 5.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. At the top of what?

In claim 1, line 13, "vertical collar" is inaccurate, since if the collar is located perpendicularly to the rear wall it should be in horizontal orientation not vertical orientation.

In claim 2, the phrase "if appropriate" is vague and indefinite. It is not clear what structure such language encompasses.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cote (3,493,103). Cote '103 discloses a folding box for displaying and/or packaging an article (B), comprising: a rear wall (12), on which side walls (13 and 15) are articulated laterally, a base flap (24) is articulated to the bottom and located perpendicularly to the rear wall, a rear flap (39) is articulated at the top and connected to a collar (38) via a folding line, the collar has a cut out (56) in which the article which is to be packaged can be positioned, and the rear flap is articulated to the inside of the rear wall (See Figure 3 embodiment), the side walls (13 and 15) are positioned on the collar such that they fix

connected to the inside of the side walls.

mirror-inverted on collar (38).

the article, above the collar, folding parts (43, 44, 45) are articulated on the respective side walls via respective scoring formation such that the fold parts are positioned one above the other, and two insertion noses (29, 30) are articulated on the base flap and

With respect to claim 2, note collar (50) with corresponding cutout which can be

With respect to claim 3, note flap (26) in Figure 3 embodiment.

Official notice is taken that it is well known in the art to bond different components of a box by adhesive in order to better secure the parts to one another. Therefore, it would have been obvious modification to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Cote '103 with this known bonding means as a matter of using known manufacturing and assembling principles.

With respect to collar being perpendicularly located to the rear wall, the position of the collar will depend on the shape and size of the article being held therein which can be modified to suit the particular article.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Shown are folding boxes analogous to applicant's instant invention.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jila M. Mohandesi whose telephone number is (571) 272-4558. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30-4:00 (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu can be reached on (571) 272-4562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jila M Mohandesi Primary Examiner Art Unit 3728

JMM December 07, 2005